UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred

Scansoft, Inc.	_				
	V.	CA No.	<u>04-10353-PBS</u>		
Voice Signal T	echnologies, Inc., et al				
	with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for Unit sachusetts, the above-entitled case is refe	_	es in the United States District Court for the dge Alexander for the following proceedings:		
(A)	Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions.				
(B)	Referred for full pretrial case management, <u>not</u> including dispositive motions:				
(C)	Referred for discovery purposes only.				
(D)	Referred for Report and Recommendation on:				
	 () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the plead () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings¹ See Documents Numbered: 	-			
(E) X Response	Case referred for events only. See Doconses to Interrogatories.	:. No(s). <u>36 Motion t</u>	o Compel Production of Documents and		
(F)	Case referred for settlement.				
(G)	Service as a special master for hearing filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e	.P.	report, subject to the terms of the special orde		
(H)	Special Instructions:				
_August 9, 20	04	By:	/s/ Robert C. Alba		
Date		,	Deputy Clerk		
(Order of Refere	nce - 05/2003)				

¹ See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance proceeding is r		ules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:		
	Make	a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases		
	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require			
	Order	issuance of appropriate process, if necessary		
		a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a mendation to the district judge		
	shall h	magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the t judge setting forth:		
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;		
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;		
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;		
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;		
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.		
-		ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.		
		any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary ng, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:		
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;		
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;		
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;		
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.		

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Reference - 1/20/03)